

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Location reference | Phase 10-01 |
| Town | Wrotham Heath |
| Ward | Borough Green and Long Mill |
| Councillors | Cllr Steve Perry Cllr Tim Shaw Cllr Mike Taylor |
| Road / Area | A20/A25 |
| Requested by | Parish Council |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/7 |

Summary

Residents asked for a permit parking scheme around the junction.

Investigation

On-street parking around the junction is already severely limited by the road layout and there is a significant level of competition between residents for the few on-street parking spaces.

The application of current parking design standards at this location would result in a significant loss of already scarce parking, and is likely to provide any noticeable benefit to residents, but would introduce a yearly charge for each permit.

In light of this, further direction was sought from the local members as to whether the proposals should be taken forward but no response was received prior to the consultation process.

Recommendation after investigation

The introduction of a parking permit scheme is likely to be to the detriment of residents. It is recommended that no further action is taken.

Should the issue remain one that the Board wishes to pursue it should be re-visited as part of Phase 11 of the Parking Action Plan.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Location reference | Phase 10-02 |
| Town | East Peckham |
| Ward | Hadlow & East Peckham |
| Councillors | Cllr Jill Anderson Cllr Howard Rogers Cllr Janet Sergison |
| Road / Area | Pound Road |
| Requested by | Parish Council and Cllrs Jill Anderson & Matthew Balfour |
| Plan reference: | n/a |

Summary

Mobile food vendor causes problems for visitors to the local supermarket.

Investigation

Since the reports of problems the mobile food vendor has changed “pitch” and is operating from an alternative location.

Recommendation following investigation

Changes to food vendor trading arrangements have removed the need for restrictions. Accordingly the location should be removed from the list of issues for Phase 10.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-03 |
| Town | East Malling |
| Ward | East Malling |
| Councillors | Cllr Daniel Markham Cllr Roger Roud |
| Road / Area | Temple Way & Dickens Drive |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/10 |

Summary

Residents complain of parking issues around the corners and junctions.

Issue

Junction protection and parking on the corner.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 24 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 3 (12.5%) | 2 (67%) | 1 (33%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate to the informal consultation was very low, suggesting that most residents were not concerned enough to comment.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-04 |
| Town | Wrotham |
| Ward | Wrotham, Ightham & Stansted |
| Councillors | Cllr Robin Betts Cllr Martin Coffin |
| Road / Area | Bancroft Road |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/17 |

Summary

Resident has complained about parking opposite their access which makes accessing the highway difficult.

Issue

New double yellow lines opposite access to No.17 to prevent obstruction.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 17 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 5 (29.4%) | 5 (100%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was typical for this type of parking consultation.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Location reference | Phase 10-05 |
| Town | Larkfield |
| Ward | Larkfield North |
| Councillors | Cllr Trudy Dean Cllr Mike Parry-Waller |
| Road / Area | Lunsford Lane & Carroll Gardens |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/20 |

Summary

Residents complain of parking issues around the corners and junctions.

Issue

Junction protection.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 35 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| 10 (28.6%) | 9 (90%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (10%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was typical for this type of parking consultation. However, there were also comments from residents asking for additional double yellow lines on Lunsford Lane opposite the junction.

This could be accommodated to assist traffic movements.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal be amended to include restrictions opposite the junction and proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-06 |
| Town | East Malling |
| Ward | East Malling |
| Councillors | Cllr Daniel Markham Cllr Roger Roud |
| Road / Area | Columbine Road & Jasmine Road |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/12 |

Summary

Residents complain of parking issues around the junction.

Issue

Junction protection.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 19 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 3 (15.8%) | 3 (100%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was typical for this type of parking consultation.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-07 |
| Town | Tonbridge |
| Ward | Tonbridge (Castle) |
| Councillors | Cllr Owen Baldock Cllr Vivian Branson |
| Road / Area | Yardley Park Road and Bickmore Way |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/36 |

Summary

Residents have complained of poor visibility near the junctions.

Issue

Extend existing double yellow lines further eastwards and new junction restrictions.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 13 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| 4 (30.8%) | 3 (75%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (25%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was high, suggesting that a number of residents wished to express their views.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Location reference | Phase 10-08 |
| Town | Ditton |
| Ward | Ditton |
| Councillors | Cllr Tom Cannon Cllr Ben Walker |
| Road / Area | Kiln Barn Road and Scott Close |
| Requested by | Parish Council & local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/6 |

Summary

Residents complain of parking issues around the junctions.

Issue

Junction protection and parking on the corners.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 21 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 10 (47.6%) | 5 (50%) | 5 (50%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was very high, suggesting that this is an emotive issue and residents wished to express their views.

The responses tended to be split, with those objecting tending to be from properties with no off-street parking and choose to park on the road, whereas those with off-street parking or live immediately on the junction tended to be in support.

Recommendation after informal consultation

The proposals are designed to reflect the advice set out in the Highway Code, not to park in close proximity to a junction or where it could cause an obstruction. Whilst this may be inconvenient to residents with no off-street facility, vehicle movements should be considered a higher priority.

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Location reference | Phase 10-09 |
| Town | Larkfield |
| Ward | Larkfield North |
| Councillors | Cllr Trudy Dean Cllr Mike Parry-Waller |
| Road / Area | Masefield Road & Coleridge Close |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/21 |

Summary

Residents complain of parking in the narrow part of the road.

Issue

Obstructive parking.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 44 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| 26 (59.1%) | 3 (11.5%) | 20 (76.9%) | 3 (11.5%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was very high, suggesting that this is an emotive issue and residents wished to express their views.

The responses were polarised, with strong objection to restrictions at the cul-de-sac end of Coleridge Close, but with some support for restrictions at the junction of Coleridge Close and Masefield Road, and the junction of Masefield Road and Chaucer Way.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposals be altered to reflect comments from residents, taking forward only junction protection restrictions at the two junctions, and proceed to formal consultation on that basis.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-10 |
| Town | Leybourne |
| Ward | Leybourne |
| Councillors | Cllr Sasha Luck Cllr Brian Luker Cllr Sophie Shrubsole |
| Road / Area | The Mead |
| Requested by | Leybourne Parish Council |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/27 |

Summary

Residents complain of large vehicle access and turning problems.

Issue

Double yellow lines to protect turning area.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 16 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 10 (62.5%) | 6 (60%) | 2 (20%) | 2 (20%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was very high, suggesting that this is an emotive issue and residents wished to express their views.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Location reference | Phase 10-11 |
| Town | Plaxtol |
| Ward | Borough Green and Long Mill |
| Councillors | Cllr Steve Perry Cllr Tim Shaw Cllr Mike Taylor |
| Road / Area | School Lane |
| Requested by | Parish Council |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/9 |

Summary

Parking opposite the car park entrance causes access problems.

Issue

Double yellow lines to maintain access.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 10 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| 4 (40%) | 0 (0%) | 3 (75%) | 1 (25%) |

The Parish Council also responded to the consultation

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was high, suggesting that a number of residents wished to express their views.

The comments did not support the proposed restriction on the east side of the road, but there was some support for restrictions on the school side, but also a request that they be reduced slightly.

The restriction on the eastern side of the road was intended to help regulate parking, to constrain it to the western side, but may not be essential. The aim of the maintaining access

to the car park may be met by the introduction of restrictions on the west side, and the proposal could be reduced to this effect.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal be reduced to double yellow lines on the west side only, and the northern end of the lines be reduced in line with the consultation comments. The amended proposals should then proceed to formal; consultatation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-12 |
| Town | Wrotham |
| Ward | Wrotham, Ightham & Stansted |
| Councillors | Cllr Robin Betts Cllr Martin Coffin |
| Road / Area | Battlefields |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/16 |

Summary

Residents complain of parking issues around the junction.

Issue

Junction protection and obstructive parking.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 8 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| 5 (62.5%) | 4 (80%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (20%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was very high, suggesting that this is an emotive issue and residents wished to express their views.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-13 |
| Town | Blue Bell Hill |
| Ward | Aylesford North and Walderslade |
| Councillors | Cllr Michael Base Cllr Des Keers Cllr Allan Sullivan |
| Road / Area | Maidstone Road |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/1 |

Summary

Resident complains of parking on the single yellow lines that causes problems.

Issue

Change existing single yellow line to double yellow lines near 567-569 to stop neighbour parking.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 20 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 5 (25%) | 4 (80%) | 1 (20%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was typical for this type of parking consultation. The objection seems to confirm the parking that occurs that the other neighbours have raised as an issue.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Location reference | Phase 10-14 |
| Town | Burham |
| Ward | Burham & Wouldham |
| Councillors | Cllr Dave Davis Cllr Roger Dalton |
| Road / Area | Church Street |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/24 |

Summary

Resident has complained about parking opposite their access which makes accessing the highway difficult.

Issue

Obstructive parking.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 16 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| 11 (68.8%) | 6 (54.5%) | 5 (45.5%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was very high, suggesting that this is an emotive issue and residents wished to express their views. The responses were split between those who wanted nearby parking and those who wanted to maintain access.

However, Church Street is narrow, and on-street parking can cause obstruction. The restrictions are designed to prevent this, allow access to the car park and also a passing place along the narrow road.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-15 |
| Town | East Malling |
| Ward | East Malling |
| Councillors | Cllr Daniel Markham Cllr Roger Roud |
| Road / Area | Dickens Drive, Howard Road & Tyler Close |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/11 |

Summary

Residents complain of parking issues around the junction.

Issue

Obstructive parking.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 79 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| 7 (8.9%) | 6 (85.7%) | 1 (14.3%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate to the informal consultation was very low, suggesting that most residents were not concerned enough to comment.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-16 |
| Town | Tonbridge |
| Ward | Tonbridge (Castle) |
| Councillors | Cllr Owen Baldock Cllr Vivian Branson |
| Road / Area | Manor Grove & Ashburnham Road |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/37 |

Summary

Residents have complained of non-resident parking.

Issue

Residents have asked for a permit parking scheme.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 99 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|
| 24 (24.2%) | 9 (37.5%) | 15 (62.5%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was typical for this type of parking consultation, and the responses give a strong indication of residents views.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal be abandoned.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-17 |
| Town | Tonbridge |
| Ward | Tonbridge (Castle) |
| Councillors | Cllr Owen Baldock Cllr Vivian Branson |
| Road / Area | Uridge Road & Uridge Crescent |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/35 |

Summary

Resident has complained about parking opposite their access which makes accessing the highway difficult.

Issue

Obstructive parking opposite No.12.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 69 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| 27 (39.1%) | 1 (3.7%) | 22 (81.5%) | 4 (14.8%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was high, suggesting that a number of residents wished to express their views. The level of those who objected was particularly high, indicating that residents were not in favour of the proposal.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal be abandoned.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-18 |
| Town | Tonbridge |
| Ward | Tonbridge (Castle) |
| Councillors | Cllr Owen Baldock Cllr Vivian Branson |
| Road / Area | Dry Hill Road |
| Requested by | Resident has complained that access is awkward |
| Plan reference: | n/a |

Summary

Resident has complained that access is awkward.

Issue

Shorten parking bay to the right of access to No.15.

Investigation

Since the issue was reported, the property in question has undergone redevelopment and has changed ownership, and the issue has not recurred. In light of this it was not appropriate to carry out informal consultation on any proposal.

Recommendation

The location should be withdrawn from the Phase 10.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-19 |
| Town | Eccles |
| Ward | Aylesford North and Walderslade |
| Councillors | Cllr Michael Base Cllr Des Keers Cllr Allan Sullivan |
| Road / Area | Bull Lane |
| Requested by | Parish Council |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/26 |

Summary

Problems with bus turning movements.

Issue

Restrictions to protect turning area for buses near the former Walnut Tree Pub.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 12 properties, asking residents for their views, but we received no response.

Informal consultation responses

Though we consulted frontagers, we received no comments, indicating that residents were not concerned enough to respond.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the lack of response, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-20 |
| Town | Larkfield |
| Ward | Larkfield South |
| Councillors | Cllr Timothy Bishop Cllr Anita Oakley |
| Road / Area | Swallow Road |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/19 |

Summary

Parent parking at school times causes congestion and safety issues.

Issue

School parent parking on bend.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 52 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| 19 (36.5%) | 14 (73.7%) | 3 (15.8%) | 2 (10.5%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was typical for this type of parking consultation.

There were a number of comments from residents suggesting minor variations to the proposals, but some were in conflict with others.

The Council's proposal is intended to provide a balance between the conflicting issues of maintaining traffic movement, parking and speed management.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-21 |
| Town | Tonbridge |
| Ward | Tonbridge (Castle) |
| Councillors | Cllr Owen Baldock Cllr Vivian Branson |
| Road / Area | Dernier Road |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/34 |

Summary

Parking around the cul-de-sac island.

Issue

Onstructive parking and a call for double yellow lines.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 35 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| 20 (57.1%) | 4 (20%) | 13 (65%) | 3 (15%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was very high, suggesting that this is an emotive issue and residents wished to express their views. The responses give a strong steer that the proposals were not supported by residents who valued the on-street parking.

However, there were calls from some residents for new double yellowlines on Dernier Road on the hill on the approach to the roundabout, where parking was reported to cause an obstruction. This was not part of these proposals, and should be considered separately, and be added to the list for a future phase of the Parking Action Plan.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal be abandoned.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-22 |
| Town | Larkfield |
| Ward | Larkfield North |
| Councillors | Cllr Trudy Dean Cllr Mike Parry-Waller |
| Road / Area | New Hythe Lane and Mercer Close |
| Requested by | Property Manager of Mercer Close development |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/18 |

Summary

Parking on the visibility splays and footways causes problems.

Issue

double yellow lines junction protection.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 89 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| 9 (10.1%) | 8 (88.9%) | 1 (11.1%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate to the informal consultation was very low, suggesting that most residents were not concerned enough to comment.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Location reference | Phase 10-23 |
| Town | Tonbridge |
| Ward | Tonbridge (Medway) |
| Councillors | Cllr Jon Botten Cllr Benjamin Elks Cllr Russell Lancaster |
| Road / Area | Lyons Crescent |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/32 |

Summary

Resident has complained that access is awkward.

Issue

Remove parking bay outside driveway.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 22 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| 11 (50%) | 1 (9.1%) | 9 (81.8%) | 1 (9.1%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was very high, suggesting that this is an emotive issue and residents wished to express their views.

The comments were split in two sections, most supported the removal of the permit parking bay, but did not support the replacement of the white access protection markings with double yellow lines.

In light of these comments the proposal could be altered to remove the double yellow line element and focus solely on the removal of the permit parking bay.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposals be altered to reflect comments from residents, and proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Location reference | Phase 10-24 |
| Town | Tonbridge |
| Ward | Tonbridge (Castle) |
| Councillors | Cllr Owen Baldock Cllr Vivian Branson |
| Road / Area | Stafford Road |
| Requested by | Resident has requested a disabled parking bay |
| Plan reference: | n/a |

Summary

Resident has requested a disabled parking bay.

Issue

New disabled parking bay in permit area.

Investigation

A new disabled persons permit parking bay has recently been introduced in Stafford Road.

Recommendation after informal consultation

The issue has already been addressed and a disabled parking bay was introduced to this location as part of Phase 9 of the Parking Action Plan. This location should be withdrawn from Phase 10.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Location reference | Phase 10-25 |
| Town | Tonbridge |
| Ward | Tonbridge (Medway) |
| Councillors | Cllr Jon Botten Cllr Benjamin Elks Cllr Russell Lancaster |
| Road / Area | Kings Road |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/31 |

Summary

Parking on the brow of hill causes problems for buses.

Issue

Parking on the brow of hill.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 33 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|
| 22 (66.7%) | 6 (27.3%) | 16 (72.7%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was very high, suggesting that this is an emotive issue and residents wished to express their views. The high proportion of comments from residents suggested that this proposal was not supported.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal be abandoned.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-26 |
| Town | Tonbridge |
| Ward | Tonbridge (Vauxhall) |
| Councillors | Cllr Maria Heslop Cllr Sarah Spence |
| Road / Area | The Drive |
| Requested by | Resident wants to make changes to a parking bay to ease access |
| Plan reference: | n/a |

Summary

Resident wants to make changes to a parking bay to ease access.

Issue

Adjust parking bays and yellow lines.

Investigation

From investigation on site it seems that the requested change to parking bays is no longer required.

Recommendation

This seems no longer required by the resident. Accordingly it should be withdrawn from Phase 10. If still required in future it can be addressed by the streamlined process for dealing with vehicle crossovers and changes to the public highway.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-27 |
| Town | Walderslade |
| Ward | Aylesford North and Walderslade |
| Councillors | Cllr Michael Base Cllr Des Keers Cllr Allan Sullivan |
| Road / Area | Taddington Wood Lane |
| Requested by | Cllr Des Keers |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/3 |

Summary

Parking near the bend and junction causes problems.

Issue

Junction protection and parking on the bend.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 37 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| 15 (40.5%) | 13 (86.7%) | 2 (13.3%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was high, suggesting that a number of residents wished to express their views.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-28 |
| Town | Wrotham |
| Ward | Wrotham, Ightham & Stansted |
| Councillors | Cllr Robin Betts Cllr Martin Coffin |
| Road / Area | St Mary's Road |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/15 |

Summary

Parking at the narrow section of the road causes problems.

Issue

Double yellow lines to prevent obstruction.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 38 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|
| 22 (57.9%) | 8 (36.4%) | 14 (63.6%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was very high, suggesting that this is an emotive issue and residents wished to express their views.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal be abandoned.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Location reference | Phase 10-29 |
| Town | Burham |
| Ward | Burham & Wouldham |
| Councillors | Cllr Dave Davis Cllr Roger Dalton |
| Road / Area | Rochester Road |
| Requested by | Cllr Davis |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/25 |

Summary

Fuel deliveries are hampered by on-street parking.

Issue

Double yellow lines to prevent obstructive parking.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 13 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 7 (53.8%) | 4 (57.1%) | 2 (28.6%) | 1 (14.3%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was very high, suggesting that this is an emotive issue and residents wished to express their views.

There were a number of suggested alterations that were raised by residents (these can be viewed in the consultation responses) and there are two elements that could be taken forward.

1. The proposed new double yellow lines on the north side of Frosts Garage could be reduced slightly to 15m from the access.
2. The double yellow lines to the south of Frosts Garage could be extended to the bottom of No's 298 & 300 Rochester Road.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposals be altered to reflect the 2 changes, and proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Location reference | Phase 10-30 |
| Town | Ditton |
| Ward | Ditton |
| Councillors | Cllr Tom Cannon Cllr Ben Walker |
| Road / Area | Bell Lane & Oak Road |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/5 |

Summary

Residents have complained of parking around the junction.

Issue

junction protection.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 42 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|------------|-------------|
| 18 (42.9%) | 8 (44.4%) | 9 (50%) | 1 (5.6%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was high, suggesting that a number of residents wished to express their views.

The responses tended to be split, between those in Bell Lane and Lower Bell Lane (who tended not to have off-street parking) and did not want any restrictions to maintain parking availability and those in Oak Road and Blackthorn Drive who wanted restrictions to maintain access and wanted the restrictions extended further.

The proposals could be amended to delete the proposed double yellow lines on the east side of Bell Lane, which would retain parking.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposals be altered to reflect

Joint Transportation Board - Parking Action Plan – Phase 10 Annex 2 – Location Summaries

comments from residents, with the yellow lines on the east side of Bell Lane deleted, and proceed to formal consultation.

24th September 2018

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Location reference | Phase 10-31 |
| Town | Borough Green |
| Ward | Borough Green and Long Mill |
| Councillors | Cllr Steve Perry Cllr Tim Shaw Cllr Mike Taylor |
| Road / Area | Fairfield Road |
| Requested by | TMBC |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/14 |

Summary

Changes due to new access road.

Issue

Changes due to new access road.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 35 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 4 (11.4%) | 4 (100%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate to the informal consultation was very low, suggesting that most residents were not concerned enough to comment.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Location reference | Phase 10-32 |
| Town | Tonbridge |
| Ward | Tonbridge (Medway) |
| Councillors | Cllr Jon Botten Cllr Benjamin Elks Cllr Russell Lancaster |
| Road / Area | Priory Road |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/29 |

Summary

Non-resident parking is causing problems.

Issue

Change limited waiting / permit bays.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 67 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 4 (6%) | 3 (75%) | 1 (25%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate to the informal consultation was very low, suggesting that most residents were not concerned enough to comment.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-33 |
| Town | Tonbridge |
| Ward | Tonbridge (Castle) |
| Councillors | Cllr Owen Baldock Cllr Vivian Branson |
| Road / Area | Shipbourne Road |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/33 |

Summary

The existing parking restrictions are outdated and don't reflect current traffic levels and requirements.

Issue

Obstructive parking.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 90 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| 26 (28.9%) | 4 (15.4%) | 19 (73.1%) | 3 (11.5%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was typical for this type of parking consultation. However, the level of response against the proposal was a strong indication of residents views.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal be abandoned.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Location reference | Phase 10-34 |
| Town | Larkfield |
| Ward | Larkfield North |
| Councillors | Cllr Trudy Dean Cllr Mike Parry-Waller |
| Road / Area | Chaucer Way |
| Requested by | Cllr Mike Parry-Waller |
| Plan reference: | n/a |

Summary

Parking around bus stops for Tesco.

Issue

New yellow lines.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

Investigation

On inspection, the area of Chaucer Way near to the bus stops serving the rear of Tesco already has double yellow lines for a significant distance.

Recommendation

The location should be withdrawn from Phase 10.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Location reference | Phase 10-35 |
| Town | Plaxtol |
| Ward | Borough Green and Long Mill |
| Councillors | Cllr Steve Perry Cllr Tim Shaw Cllr Mike Taylor |
| Road / Area | The Street |
| Requested by | Parish Council |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/8 |

Summary

Parking in the narrow areas affects traffic movements.

Issue

Double yellow lines through narrow areas.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 17 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| 8 (47.1%) | 1 (12.5%) | 7 (87.5%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was high, suggesting that a number of residents wished to express their views.

The level of response against the proposal was a strong indication that the proposals were not supported.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal be abandoned.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-36 |
| Town | Walderslade |
| Ward | Aylesford North and Walderslade |
| Councillors | Cllr Michael Base Cllr Des Keers Cllr Allan Sullivan |
| Road / Area | Woodbury Road |
| Requested by | Local resident and Cllr Des Keers |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/2 |

Summary

Residents have complained of others parking on the corners.

Issue

Junction protection and parking on the corner.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 43 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| 23 (53.5%) | 18 (78.3%) | 5 (21.7%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was high, suggesting that a number of residents wished to express their views.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-37 |
| Town | Eccles |
| Ward | Aylesford North and Walderslade |
| Councillors | Cllr Michael Base Cllr Des Keers Cllr Allan Sullivan |
| Road / Area | Jenner Way |
| Requested by | Cllr Michael Base |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/23 |

Summary

Parking near the bend and junction causes problems.

Issue

Junction protection.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 126 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|
| 35 (27.8%) | 26 (74.3%) | 8 (22.9%) | 1 (2.9%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was typical for this type of parking consultation.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Location reference | Phase 10-38 |
| Town | Ditton |
| Ward | Ditton |
| Councillors | Cllr Tom Cannon Cllr Ben Walker |
| Road / Area | Quarry Wood Industrial Estate |
| Requested by | TMBC and Police |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/4 |

Summary

Commercial vehicle parking is causing access and social problems.

Issue

Overnight lorry parking.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 61 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 10 (16.4%) | 9 (90%) | 1 (10%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was typical for this type of parking consultation.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-39 |
| Town | Tonbridge |
| Ward | Tonbridge (Vauxhall) |
| Councillors | Cllr Maria Heslop Cllr Sarah Spence |
| Road / Area | Hilltop, Silver Close & Fairview Close |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/28 |

Summary

Residents complain of obstructive parking by local students.

Issue

New double yellow lines to prevent obstructive parking.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 31 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| 9 (29%) | 8 (88.9%) | 1 (11.1%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was typical for this type of parking consultation.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal proceed to formal consultation.

Parking Plan – Phase 10 – Location Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Location reference | Phase 10-40 |
| Town | Ightham |
| Ward | Wrotham, Ightham & Stansted |
| Councillors | Cllr Robin Betts Cllr Martin Coffin |
| Road / Area | The Street |
| Requested by | Local resident |
| Plan reference: | DD/583/13 |

Summary

Resident would like double yellow lines extended to improve access.

Issue

Extend double yellow lines across access.

Informal consultation

The Borough Council carried out informal consultation on the proposed parking restrictions, from 3rd August to 2nd September 2018.

As part of the informal consultation we wrote to 22 properties, asking residents for their views, and we received the following responses;

| Response rate | In favour | Against | Don't Know |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| 9 (40.9%) | 1 (11.1%) | 8 (88.9%) | 0 (0%) |

Informal consultation responses

The response rate was high, suggesting that a number of residents wished to express their views.

Recommendation after informal consultation

In light of the responses, it is recommended that the proposal be abandoned.